

Affirmative Action Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer No
- b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer Yes

The percentage of PWD in the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster was 28.5% and exceeds the 12% benchmark. The percentage of PWD in the GS-11 to SES cluster was 10.83% and falls below the goal of 12%. Comparing the FY21 data with FY22, the agency is continuing to meet its goal for the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster and steadily increasing representation in the GS-11 to SES cluster.

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer No
- b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer Yes

The percentage of PWTD in the GS-1 to GS-10 cluster was 14.28%, which far exceeds the 2% goal. However, the percentage of PWTD in the GS-11 to SES cluster was 1.6%, which falls below the goal of 2%. Based on preliminary data, it seems likely that OSC will meet both goals this fiscal year.

Grade Level Cluster(GS or Alternate Pay Planb)	Total		Reportable Disability		Targeted Disability	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Numerical Goal	--		12%		2%	
Grades GS-1 to GS-10	7		2	28.57	1	14.29
Grades GS-11 to SES	122		13	10.66	2	1.64

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

In FY 2022, the EEO Director verbally communicated to senior staff, including all hiring managers, the goals of 2% for PWTD and 12% for PWD. Also, the CHCO and the EEO Director meet to discuss recruitment of PWD and PWTD.

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, after a comprehensive review of the reasonable accommodation process and our limited staffing, OSC designated the CHCO as the Medical Review Officer.

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

Disability Program Task	# of FTE Staff By Employment Status			Responsible Official (Name, Title, Office Email)
	Full Time	Part Time	Collateral Duty	
Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTB	0	1	0	Shirine Moazed EEO Director smoazed@osc.gov
Processing applications from PWD and PWTB	0	0	1	Leigh Ann Massey Chief Human Capital Officer lmassey@osc.gov
Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account	0	0	1	Leigh Ann Massey Chief Human Capital Officer lmassey@osc.gov
Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees	0	0	1	Leigh Ann Massey Chief Human Capital Officer lmassey@osc.gov
Section 508 Compliance	0	0	1	Zachary Kurz Communications Director zkurz@osc.gov
Architectural Barriers Act Compliance	0	0	1	Karl Kammann Chief Financial Officer kkammann@osc.gov

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

The EEO and Human Capital Offices will continue identifying and coordinating activities that build knowledge and improve skills among the disability program staff and supervisors.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer Yes

In FY 2022, the EEO Director and Human Capital Officer have continued to dialogue about efforts to educate management and identify new methods for improving the disability program at OSC.

Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

Brief Description of Program Deficiency	B.4.a.8. to effectively administer its special emphasis programs (such as, Federal Women’s Program, Hispanic Employment Program, and People with Disabilities Program Manager)? [5 USC § 7201; 38 USC § 4214; 5 CFR § 720.204; 5 CFR § 213.3102(t) and (u); 5 CFR § 315.709]
--	--

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency’s recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

OSC’s Human Resources Office opens all external vacancy announcement to individuals with disabilities by accepting non-competitive Schedule A candidate for consideration.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency’s use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

The agency utilizes appropriate hiring authorities that take disability into account, e.g., Schedule A.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual’s application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

(1) The CHCO ensures that the Schedule A authority is appropriate. (2) The Human Capital Office routes all unsolicited requests for Schedule A appoints directly to the Senior Executive Service (SES), and the SES make relevant referrals to hiring managers. OSC is looking to make Schedule A applications available for hiring managers on a shared drive.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If “yes”, describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to provide this training.

Answer Yes

The CHCO advises managers of the Schedule A authorities. The EEO Office plans to craft a policy that educates managers on Schedule A. Also, the CHCO will begin sending out quarterly reminders to management.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency’s efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The agency has implemented a procedure to post vacancy announcements at a variety of diverse organizations, including organizations that serve individuals with disabilities, to increase hiring of PWD. The agency has initiated the process of making contact with American Job Centers, State Vocational Rehabilitation Agencies, the Veterans' Vocational Rehabilitation and Employment Program, Centers for Independent Living, and Employment Network Service providers. The agency will make every effort to establish and maintain these contacts as well as identify additional organizations that assist PWD in securing and maintaining employment.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.

- a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD) Answer No
- b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) Answer Yes

The 2022 percentages are as follows: the percentage of PWD among new hires (identified) was 44%, which exceeds the goal of 12%. There were no PWTD among new hires in 2022. OSC will continue to review what steps can be taken to conduct additional outreach and recruitment efforts for PWD and PWTD at the senior grade levels.

New Hires	Total (#)	Reportable Disability		Targeted Disability	
		Permanent Workforce (%)	Temporary Workforce (%)	Permanent Workforce (%)	Temporary Workforce (%)
% of Total Applicants					
% of Qualified Applicants					
% of New Hires					

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. New Hires for MCO (PWD) Answer N/A
- b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD) Answer Yes

OSC is still waiting for the FY 2022 applicant flow data from OPM. We can supplement our report once the information when the information is received. However, looking at current trends, we expect that the data will reveal a trigger for PWTD in mission critical positions.

New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations	Total (#)	Reportable Disability	Targetable Disability
		New Hires (%)	New Hires (%)
Numerical Goal	--	12%	2%

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD) Answer N/A
- b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD) Answer N/A

The applicant data collected in FY 2022 cannot speak to the existence of triggers involving PWD and/or PWTD among the internal job applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
 - a. Promotions for MCO (PWD) Answer N/A
 - b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD) Answer N/A

With respect to internal promotions, we do not appear to have NFC tables noting such promotions. As indicated above, we are in discussions to see if our processing entity, NFC, can provide such data to OSC.

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

The CHCO and EEO office continue to meet and explore additional training for PWD and PWTD to enhance the opportunities for advancement.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

OSC's training plan provides that managers shall (1) set forth consistent guidelines for training requests; (2) ensure transparency when allocating training resources; (3) improve individual employee and organizational performance; and (4) assist in achieving the agency's mission and performance goals. The plan will, in turn, better enable all employees, including PWD and PWTD, to develop their careers through education and training opportunities, and ensure that these groups of employees are well represented during the disbursement of training funds. OSC also used detail opportunities in FY 2022 to provide professional growth opportunities.

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/ approval to participate.

Career Development Opportunities	Total Participants		PWD		PWTD	
	Applicants (#)	Selectees (#)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)
Internship Programs						
Fellowship Programs						
Mentoring Programs						
Coaching Programs						
Training Programs						
Detail Programs						

Career Development Opportunities	Total Participants		PWD		PWTD	
	Applicants (#)	Selectees (#)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)
Other Career Development Programs						

3. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
- b. Selections (PWD) Answer N/A

Currently, OSC does not have any development programs that require competition.

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
- b. Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

See above.

C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD) Answer No
- b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD) Answer No

The agency awarded 14 cash and time-off awards to the 14 PWD employed at the agency and 3 cash awards to the 3 PWTD employed at the agency.

Time-Off Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Awards Given	13	0.00	12.04	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Total Hours	80	0.00	74.07	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Average Hours	6	0.00	5.56	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 hours: Awards Given	18	6.67	15.74	0.00	8.33
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Total Hours	308	106.67	270.37	0.00	133.33
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Average Hours	17	106.67	15.74	0.00	133.33
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Time-Off Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 hours: Awards Given	14	13.33	10.19	0.00	16.67
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Total Hours	560	480.00	414.81	0.00	600.00
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Average Hours	40	240.00	37.04	0.00	300.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Cash Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Awards Given	4	13.33	1.85	0.00	16.67
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Total Amount	3023	10186.67	1384.26	0.00	12733.33
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Average Amount	755	5093.33	691.67	0.00	6366.67
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Awards Given	12	6.67	8.33	0.00	8.33
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Total Amount	13504	6666.67	9540.74	0.00	8333.33
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Average Amount	1125	6666.67	1059.26	0.00	8333.33
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Awards Given	4	0.00	3.70	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Total Amount	8408	0.00	7785.19	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Average Amount	2102	0.00	1946.30	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Awards Given	21	13.33	17.59	33.33	8.33
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Total Amount	73376	44666.67	61737.04	100000.00	30833.33
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Average Amount	3494	22333.33	3249.07	100000.00	2916.67
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Awards Given	29	13.33	24.07	33.33	8.33
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Total Amount	120004	53786.67	99385.19	135600.00	33333.33
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Average Amount	4138	26893.33	3822.22	135600.00	-283.33
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Awards Given	63	26.67	52.78	33.33	25.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Total Amount	588894	313673.33	471734.26	166666.67	350425.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Average Amount	9347	78413.33	8275.93	166666.67	56350.00

Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance-based pay increases? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

- a. Pay Increases (PWD) Answer Yes
- b. Pay Increases (PWTD) Answer Yes

During FY 2022, OSC was not in the position to provide QSIs to any employee.

Other Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
--------------	-----------	-------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If “yes”, describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.
- a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD) Answer N/A
 - b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD) Answer N/A

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
- a. SES
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A
 - b. Grade GS-15
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A
 - c. Grade GS-14
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A
 - d. Grade GS-13
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A

Please see responses above regarding possibility of collecting such internal data, if this could be done by OSC's processing agency, NFC.

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants

and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. SES
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A
- b. Grade GS-15
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A
- c. Grade GS-14
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A
- d. Grade GS-13
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

Please see response above.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
- a. New Hires to SES (PWD) Answer No
 - b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD) Answer No
 - c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD) Answer No
 - d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD) Answer No

We will evaluate the applicant pool data once received from OPM.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
- a. New Hires to SES (PWTD) Answer No
 - b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) Answer No
 - c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD) Answer No
 - d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD) Answer No

We will evaluate the applicant pool data once received from OPM.

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A

We had no internal promotions to supervisory positions in FY 2022.

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

We had no internal promotions to supervisory positions in FY 2022.

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWD) Answer N/A

- b. New Hires for Managers (PWD) Answer N/A
- c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD) Answer N/A

We are still waiting for the applicant flow data from OPM.

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
- a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD) Answer N/A
 - b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD) Answer N/A
 - c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD) Answer N/A

We are still waiting for the applicant flow data from OPM.

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If “no”, please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Answer No

The only Schedule A candidate eligible for conversion in FY 2022 departed the agency. We expect to convert an eligible Schedule A candidate in FY 2023.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.
- a. Voluntary Separations (PWD) Answer No
 - b. Involuntary Separations (PWD) Answer No

Separations	Total #	Reportable Disabilities %	Without Reportable Disabilities %
Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Removal	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Resignation	5	6.25	3.36
Permanent Workforce: Retirement	1	0.00	0.84
Permanent Workforce: Other Separations	8	6.25	5.88
Permanent Workforce: Total Separations	14	12.50	10.08

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations

exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD) Answer No

b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD) Answer No

Seperations	Total #	Targeted Disabilities %	Without Targeted Disabilities %
Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Removal	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Resignation	5	0.00	3.79
Permanent Workforce: Retirement	1	0.00	0.76
Permanent Workforce: Other Separations	8	0.00	6.06
Permanent Workforce: Total Separations	14	0.00	10.61

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

N/A

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

<https://osc.gov/Pages/Accessibility.aspx>

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

<https://osc.gov/Pages/Accessibility.aspx>

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

OSC continues to monitor other small agencies for additional resources to ensure that the agency is aware of the latest equipment innovations to meet the needs of PWD. OSC recently revised its emergency response procedures and evacuation plan to include special provisions and ensure safe movement of PWD & PWTD in case of emergency.

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

The agency's Procedures for Accommodation of Persons with Disabilities state that requests shall be processed and the accommodation, if approved, shall be provided in 20 business days from receipt of request, or sooner, if possible. In FY 2022, the average processing time was approximately 36 business days.

- 2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency’s reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

OSC's Procedures for Accommodation of Persons with Disabilities including the EEOC's minimum requirements are shared with everyone. The consolidated directive provides extensive direction and precise guidelines for supervisors, employees, and applicants for employment. The effectiveness of the agency's reasonable accommodation program is demonstrated by the responsive and timely processing of requests and approved accommodations. In FY 2022, we have included this information in all onboarding packages and on OSC's Intranet and Internet.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

The agency has not yet received a request for PAS; however, the consolidated directive provides extensive direction and precise guidelines for supervisors and employees. Managers and supervisors will attend training to gain knowledge of relevant laws and agency procedures.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

- 1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

Answer No

- 2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer No

- 3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

- 1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

Answer No

- 2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer No

- 3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

- 1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer No

- 2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer N/A

- 3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments

- 4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

If barriers were found, the EEO Director would meet with senior staff to implement changes.

- 5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

Please see the above response.

- 6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

Please see the above response.